# RICHARD A. RYAN

ATTORNEY AT LAW

Richard A. Ryan\* \*Registered Patent Attorney

richard@fresnopatentlaw.com

8497 N. Millbrook, Suite 101 Fresno, California 93720 Phone: (559) 447-1837 Fax: (559) 447-1042 www.fresnopatentlaw.com

### RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAY 0 1 2008

Patents, Trademarks, Copyrights, Trade Secrets, Licensing and Related Intellectual Property Legal Matters

# FAX COVER SHEET

TO:

Examiner: Lana N. Le

Patent and Trademark Office

FROM:

Richard A. Ryan, Esq.

DATE:

May 1, 2008

**FAX** #:

(571) 273-8300 (PTO Central Fax Number)

RE:

Patent Application No.: 10/670,873 Applicant: Joseph C. Lee Title: Wafer Mobile Phone Platform System

Attorney Docket No.: RAR379.01

# OF PAGES: (incl. this page)

15

MESSAGE:

Attached is a proposed Response/Amendment for the above-identified patent application. Please call or email me (as provided above) if you have any questions or need additional information. Thank you for your assistance.

#### **CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE**

WARNING: The information contained in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the Individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copy of this facsimile is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify me by telephone at (559) 447-1837 and return the original message to us at the address noted above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you,

ORIGINAL TO FOLLOW:

YES

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Practitioner's Docket No. RAR379.01

MAY 0 1 2008

PATENT

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Joseph C. Lee

Serial No.: 10/670,873

Group No.: 2681

Filed: 09/25/2003

Examiner: Le, Lana N.

For: Wafer Mobile Phone Platform System

Mail Stop Patent Application Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### AMENDMENT (PROPOSED) TRANSMITTAL

Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

- 1. Applicant is a small entity. A statement was already filed
- 2. No extension of time is believed due.
- 3. If an extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

4. No claims were added, therefore no fee for claims is due.

DATE

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Reg. No. 39,014

Richard A. Ryan Attorney at Law

8497 N. Millbrook, Suite 101

Fresno, CA 93720

Customer No.: 29762

Tel. No.: (559) 447-1837 Fax No.: (559) 447-1042

# CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8(a)) FACSIMILE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office, facsimile # (571) 273-8300 (Central Fax Number).

Date

1/2008

Signature

Richard A. Ryan, Reg. No. 39,014

(type or print name of person certifying)

5594471042

MAY 0 1 2008

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Joseph C. Lee	) May 1, 2008
Appl. No.: 10/670,873	) Attorney Docket No. RAR379.01
Filing Date: 09/25/2003	) Group Art Unit 2681
Title: Wafer Mobile Phone Platform System	) Examiner: Le, Lana N.

### RESPONSE/AMENDMENT - AFTER FINAL - PROPOSED

Commissioner for Patents Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In response to the communication from the Examiner dated March 26, 2008, the applicant respectfully requests that the application be considered in light of the following:

1. The Examiner's Office Action dated March 26, 2008 provided for a three month shortened statutory period, which expires June 26, 2008. This proposed Response is being filed on May 1, 2008, which is within the time to respond with an extension of time.

# CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8(a)) **FACSIMILE**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office, facsimile #(571) 273-8300 (Central Fax Number).

Date:

Signature

Richard A. Ryan, Reg. No. 39,014 (type or print name of person certifying)

2. The Examiner's Office Action rejected all of the claims under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant regards as the invention. The claims were also rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being obvious in light of certain identified prior art. This Response/Amendment is intended to clarify the claims to remove the Section 112 rejection and place the subject claims in condition for appeal on the Section 103(a) issues alone.

3. The following materials are enclosed herewith to comply with the Revised Amendment Practice set forth in 37 CFR § 1.121:

a. Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begin on page 3 of this Response/Amendment; and

b. Remarks begin on page 12.

Summary of Claims:

Kindly amend claims 1, 14 and 25.

Claims cancelled: None.

Claims added: None.

Response Application No. 10/670,873